# ARTICLE IN PR

NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### NeuroImage





journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ynimg

### Detection by voxel-wise statistical analysis of significant changes in regional cerebral glucose uptake in an APP/PS1 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease

Albertine Dubois <sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Anne-Sophie Hérard <sup>a</sup>, Benoît Delatour <sup>c</sup>, Philippe Hantraye <sup>a</sup>, Gilles Bonvento <sup>a</sup>, Marc Dhenain<sup>a</sup>, Thierry Delzescaux<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> CEA-DSV-I2BM-MIRCen-LMN, Orsay, France

<sup>b</sup> CEA-DSV-I2BM-Neurospin-LRMN, Gif-sur-Yvette, France <sup>c</sup> CNRS UMR 8620, Orsay, France

7

1

2

3

4

6

8

0

#### ARTICLE INFO

10	Article history:	
11	Received 16 November 2009	
12	Revised 17 February 2010	
13	Accepted 25 February 2010	
14	Available online xxxx	
16		
18	Keywords:	
10	20	

- 193D reconstruction 20 Voxel-wise statistical analysis 21Transgenic mouse brain 22 Alzheimer's disease 23Cerebral glucose uptake
- 24 Autoradiography

#### ABSTRACT

Biomarkers and technologies similar to those used in humans are essential for the follow-up of Alzheimer's 25 disease (AD) animal models, particularly for the clarification of mechanisms and the screening and validation 26 of new candidate treatments. In humans, changes in brain metabolism can be detected by 1-deoxy-2-[<sup>18</sup>F] 27 fluoro-D-glucose PET (FDG-PET) and assessed in a user-independent manner with dedicated software, such 28 as Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM). FDG-PET can be carried out in small animals, but its resolution is 29 low as compared to the size of rodent brain structures. In mouse models of AD, changes in cerebral glucose 30 utilization are usually detected by  $[{}^{14}C]$ -2-deoxyglucose (2DG) autoradiography, but this requires prior 31 manual outlining of regions of interest (ROI) on selected sections. Here, we evaluate the feasibility of 32 applying the SPM method to 3D autoradiographic data sets mapping brain metabolic activity in a transgenic 33 mouse model of AD. We report the preliminary results obtained with 4 APP/PS1 ( $64 \pm 1$  weeks) and 3 PS1 34  $(65 \pm 2 \text{ weeks})$  mice. We also describe new procedures for the acquisition and use of "blockface" 35 photographs and provide the first demonstration of their value for the 3D reconstruction and spatial 36 normalization of post mortem mouse brain volumes. Despite this limited sample size, our results appear to be 37 meaningful, consistent, and more comprehensive than findings from previously published studies based on 38 conventional ROI-based methods. The establishment of statistical significance at the voxel level, rather than 39 with a user-defined ROI, makes it possible to detect more reliably subtle differences in geometrically 40 complex regions, such as the hippocampus. Our approach is generic and could be easily applied to other 41 biomarkers and extended to other species and applications. 42

© 2010 Published by Elsevier Inc. 43

45

47

#### 46

#### 48 Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative process leading 49to progressive and irreversible impairments of cognition and 5051behavior. It is associated with a severe neuronal loss, and the accumulation of amyloid- $\beta$  (A $\beta$ ) plaques and neurofibrillary tangles 52(NFT) (Goedert and Spillantini, 2006). 53

54Definitive diagnosis of AD still requires the post mortem examination of brain tissue, to obtain evidence that these specific neuropath-55 ological lesions are present. Over the last 30 years, considerable 5657progress has been made in the development of biomarkers to bridge the gap between the "gold standard" of post mortem neuropatholog-58ical confirmation and the clinical diagnosis of AD on the basis of 59cognitive deficits and the exclusion of other dementia disorders. 60 61 Among other techniques, functional neuroimaging with positron

E-mail address: albertine.dubois@cea.fr (A. Dubois).

1053-8119/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Published by Elsevier Inc. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.074

emission tomography (PET) is being investigated as a possible source 62 of biomarkers for AD. In particular, 1-deoxy-2-[<sup>18</sup>F] fluoro-D-glucose 63 (FDG)-PET detects changes in cerebral metabolism during both the 64 prodomal and clinical phases of AD. It is highly sensitive and can thus 65 identify target structures at high risk of disruption by AD (Reiman et 66 al., 1996; Minoshima et al., 1997). Recently developed PET tracers, 67 such as Pittsburgh Compound B (PIB) and 2-(1-6-[(2-18F-fluoroethyl) 68 (methyl)amino]2-naphthylethylidene)-malononitrile (FDDNP) have 69 been used to visualize AB plaques and NFT in AD patients (Shoghi-70Jadid et al., 2002; Klunk et al., 2004; Small et al., 2006). FDDNP binds 71 to both amyloid plaques and tangles, whereas PIB selectively labels 72 amyloid plaques. 73

Several potential treatments for AD are currently being developed 74and are tested in transgenic animal models - mostly mice -75overexpressing a mutated form of the human  $A\beta$  precursor protein 76 (APP) gene associated with familial forms of AD. Both single (PDAPP, 77 (Games et al., 1995); Tg2576, (Hsiao et al., 1996) and double 78 (APP×mutated PS1, PSAPP) transgenic mice develop plaques in the 79 brain. However, co-expression with the gene encoding human 80

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. CEA-DSV-I2BM-SHFJ Inserm U803, 4 place du Général Leclerc, 91401 Orsay Cedex, France. Fax: +33 1 69 86 77 45.

2

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

mutated presenilin 1 significantly decreases the age at which the first 81 82 plaques are detected (Duff et al., 1996; Holcomb et al., 1998). The pathological features observed in these APP and PSAPP transgenic 83 84 mice include diffuse amyloid deposits and dense core (fibrillar) plaques mimicking the senile plaques of human AD patients. These 85 transgenic mice provide a means to detect and track biomarker 86 changes similar to those observed in humans. They are therefore of 87 potential interest in the understanding of the disease mechanisms, 88 89 such as biological aspects of the changes in cerebral glucose 90 utilization, and the preclinical screening of new disease-slowing 91 treatments.

(FDG)-PET can be performed in small animals, but its spatial 92resolution (~0.5 to 3 mm) is low as compared to the size of rodent 93 94 brain structures, making it impossible to avoid the inclusion of tissues with different rates of metabolism within a single voxel or region of 95 interest (ROI). In mouse models of AD, changes in cerebral glucose 96 utilization are therefore usually detected by high-resolution autora-97 diography ( $\sim 100-200 \,\mu\text{m}$ ), which remains the reference technique 98 for functional neuroimaging in small-animal research. Quantitative 99 autoradiographic studies are classically analyzed through manual 100 segmentation of ROIs on a limited number of 2D sections (Dodart et 101 al., 1999; Reiman et al., 2000; Niwa et al., 2002; Sadowski et al., 2004; 102 103 Valla et al., 2006). These techniques, however, may be subject to observer bias and inaccurate manual delineation, particularly when 104 the ROI is defined directly on autoradiographic modality images. 105Moreover, ROI-based analyses are intrinsically hypothesis-driven, and 106 significant effects in areas away from the pre-defined ROIs may be 107 108 overlooked.

The inherent limitations of ROI-based analysis have been tackled 109 by the use of methods combining data from different subjects into a 110 common spatial referential. This spatial normalization of data from 111 112individual subjects to a standardized brain volume makes it possible 113to use voxel-wise statistical analysis for group comparisons. The recent application of these methods to 3D-reconstructed autoradio-114 graphic images led to the identification of significant functional 115differences in various brain regions, between two groups of rats in 116 specific experimental conditions (treadmill walking, (Nguyen et al., 117 2004); cortical deafness model, (Lee et al., 2005); conditioned fear, 118 (Holschneider et al., 2006); and visual stimulus, (Dubois et al., 119 2008b). In the current study, we evaluated the feasibility and the 120 reliability of applying spatial normalization and voxel-wise statis-121 122 tical analysis to 3D autoradiographic data sets first time mapping brain metabolic activity in a mouse model of AD (smallest brain 123 structures and species investigated so far). We report the 124 125preliminary results obtained in such a pathological context with a limited sample size (n=7). We also describe new procedures for 126127 the acquisition and use of photographs of the brain face taken during sectioning, generally referred to as "blockface photographs", 128and provide the first demonstration of their value for the 3D 129reconstruction and spatial normalization of post mortem mouse 130brain volumes. Despite being limited by the relatively small sample 131 132size, we discuss the reliability of our preliminary findings and the 133 likely contribution of voxel-wise analysis to increasing knowledge about neurodegenerative diseases and to the efficient development 134and exhaustive validation of new therapeutic approaches. 135

### 136 Materials and methods

#### 137 Animals

Experiments were conducted on adult APP/PS1 transgenic mice. This transgenic line is based on the overexpression of both human amyloid precursor protein (APP driven by the Thy-1 promoter and harboring three familial mutations, the Swedish K670M/N671L and London V717I mutations) and mutant presenilin 1 (PS1 with the M146L mutation). The mutated presenilin 1 gene accelerates amyloid deposition (Blanchard et al., 2003). In these transgenic mice, amyloid 144 deposition begins at the age of 2.5 months (Wirths et al., 2001). 145 Heterozygous APP/PS1 mice were obtained by crossing heterozygous 146 transgenic APP<sub>(+/-)</sub> mice with homozygous transgenic  $PS1_{(+/+)}$ 147mice. The APP<sub>(-/-)</sub>/PS1<sub>(+/-)</sub> mouse littermates (PS1) resulting from 148 crossings were used as controls as they display no amyloid plaques 149and can therefore allow to specifically evaluate the effects of the APP 150transgene on amyloid deposition in the brain (Delatour et al., 2006; El 151Tannir El Tayara et al., 2006; Dhenain et al., 2009). All the procedures 152were designed to minimize animal suffering and were carried out in 153 accordance with the recommendations of the EEC (86/609/EEC) and 154the French National Committee (87/848) for the use of laboratory 155animals. 156

#### Measurement of [<sup>14</sup>C]-2-deoxyglucose (2-DG) uptake

We measured 2-DG uptake in 4 APP/PS1 ( $64 \pm 1$  weeks) and 3 PS1 158  $(65 \pm 2 \text{ weeks})$  mice. Experiments were performed on conscious, 159lightly restrained animals. Animals were fasted but had free access to 160 water for 12 h before the experiment. On the day of the experiment, 161 mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and a catheter was inserted 162 intraperitoneally. Body temperature was monitored rectally and 163 maintained at 37 °C with a thermostatically controlled heating pad. 164**01** Mice were allowed to recover from anesthesia for 1 h and were then 165 injected with 2-DG (16.5  $\mu$ Ci/100 g body weight). Uptake occurred 166 over a period of 45 min and the animals were then euthanized by 167injection of a lethal dose of sodium pentobarbital. The entire brain was 168 removed and split into its left and right hemispheres. For each animal, 169we evaluated cerebral glucose uptake in the right hemisphere by 170quantitative autoradiography, whereas the left hemisphere was 171 processed for amyloid deposit detection by standard Congo red 172staining. 173

### Congo red staining

174

196

157

The left hemispheres were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and 175 stored in this fixative, at 4 °C, until processing for histology. They were 17602 cut into 40 µm-thick coronal sections on a freezing microtome after 177 cryoprotection in 20% glycerin and 2% dimethyl sulfoxide in 0.1 M 178 phosphate buffer. Amyloid deposits were labeled by standard Congo 179red staining (incubation for 30 min in an 80% ethanol solution 180 saturated with Congo red and sodium chloride). Each Congo red-181 stained section was digitized, using a high-resolution flatbed scanner 182 with 4000 dpi in-plane resolution (pixel size  $6.35 \times 6.35 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ ). 183

Data acquisition and 3D reconstruction of blockface, autoradiographic184and histological post mortem volumes185

The right hemispheres, destined for autoradiography, were snap-186 frozen in isopentane at -40 °C. They were then embedded in a 18703 custom-made mixture of M1 embedding matrix (Shandon, Pittsburg, 188 PA, USA) and Fast Green (Sigma-Aldrich, Lyon, France) and cut into 189 20 µm-thick coronal sections on a cryostat. Every fourth section was 190mounted on Superfrost glass slides, rapidly heat-dried and placed 191 against autoradiographic film for one week, together with radioactive 192[<sup>14</sup>C] standards. The same sections were then processed for Nissl 193 staining. The olfactory bulb and the cerebellum were excluded from 194the sectioning process. 195

#### Blockface volume

Images from the surface of the frozen hemisphere were recorded 197 with a digital camera (Canon Powershot G5 Pro) before the cutting of 198 each fourth section. These photographs were taken at the end of the 199 cryostat wheel crank course, ensuring that the brain was in the same 200 position for all sections (X and Y axes) and at the same distance from 201 the camera (Z axis). An optic fiber-ring light was fixed onto the 202

A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

220

objective of the camera. This provided uniform, reproducible 203 204 illumination of the sample. A computer was used to control the camera, making it possible to take images remotely, and the images 205 206 were stored directly on this computer. For each right hemisphere, we obtained a series of about 120 blockface photographs with an in-plane 207resolution of  $27 \times 27 \,\mu\text{m}^2$  (Fig. 1A). The calibration factor between the 208number of pixels in the images and the corresponding distance in 209millimeters was determined by obtaining an image of a piece of graph 210211 paper in the focal plane before sectioning. To reduce the field of view, 212the photographs were first cropped manually by interactively selecting the four points defining the coordinates of the right, left, 213top and bottom borders (Fig. 1B). They were then automatically 214segmented to separate the brain tissue from the embedding medium 215and to set the background to zero (Fig. 1C). Series of segmented 216photographs were stacked in the Z direction, to create a 3D consistent 217 blockface volume (Fig. 1D). No registration was required due to the 218 natural spatial consistency of the images. 219

Autoradiographic and histological volumes

The autoradiographs, the corresponding Nissl-stained sections and 221 the [<sup>14</sup>C] standards were digitized as 8-bit gray-scale images, using a 222 flatbed scanner with 1200 dpi in-plane resolution (pixel size 223  $21 \times 21 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ ). As previously described (Dubois et al., 2007), the 224 sections were extracted from the scans, individualized, stacked in the 225Z direction and rigidly registered so as to obtain spatially consistent 226 3D volumes. Corresponding computerized procedures are now 227included in our in-house image processing software, BrainVISA, 228which can be freely downloaded from the Internet (http://brainvisa. 229 info/dowloadpage.html). They are collected into an add-on module 230 package, called Brain Reconstruction and Analysis Toolbox (BrainRAT) 231and available for version 3.1.4 and higher (personal communication: 232 Dubois et al., 2008a). 233

First applications of voxel-wise statistical analysis to post mortem 234data solely required the alignment of 2D autoradiographic sections 235 into a 3D volume (Nguyen et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2005; Holschneider 236



Coronal view

D



Horizontal view



Fig. 1. (A) Blockface photograph of one mouse hemisphere recorded with a digital camera. (B) The same blockface photograph, obtained after reducing the field of view. (C) Corresponding binary image obtained after separation of the brain tissue from the embedding medium, by setting the background to zero. (D) Blockface volume, achieved by stacking the series of segmented photographs (green component obtained after RGB separation) in the Z direction. This volume is represented in three orthogonal views and as a 3D volume-rendered image. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

4

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

et al., 2006; Holschneider and Maarek, 2008). In our previous work 237 238 (Dubois et al., 2008b), we better used a co-registration strategy of autoradiographic with corresponding histological sections, benefiting 239 240from greater anatomical detail provided by Nissl staining as compared to autoradiography. In the current study, we dealt with three different 241 imaging modalities: autoradiography, histology and blockface pho-242 tography. We therefore proposed an original strategy for 3D 243244 reconstruction of *post mortem* volume images (Fig. 2), combining 1) 245co-registration of each histological section with its corresponding 246photograph from the blockface volume (HistoScheme 1) and 2) coregistration of each autoradiographic section with its corresponding 247registered histological section (AutoradScheme 1). 248

To validate this approach, we first compared the histological 249volume reconstructed with HistoScheme 1 to that resulting from the 250registration of each histological section with a reference one in the 251 stack, using a propagation-based approach as described by (Dubois et 252 al., 2007) (HistoScheme 2). The consistency of each 3D-reconstructed 253 histological volume was assessed by visual inspection of internal 254structures viewed in different orthogonal incidences and by visual 255inspection of the 3D surface renderings of the corresponding volumes. 256The blockface volume was used as a reference for the comparison of 257the two methods. We also compared the anatomo-functional 258259 superimposition obtained with AutoradScheme 1 to that derived from the co-registration of each 2D autoradiographic section with its 260 corresponding blockface photograph from the blockface volume 261(AutoradScheme 2). The accuracy of anatomo-functional super-262impositions was assessed by superimposing the histological volumes 263264from the 7 hemispheres onto their corresponding autoradiographic volumes. 265

Lastly, the gray-scale level intensities were calibrated, using the co-exposed [ $^{14}$ C] standard scale, and converted to activity values (nCi/ g of tissue).

### 269 Creation of a study-specific template and spatial normalization

Individual 3D-reconstructed autoradiographic volumes for each 270right hemisphere were spatially normalized into a standardized 271coordinate space defined by a study-specific template of the mouse 272273right hemisphere. Creation of such a template has been described in previous works (Nguyen et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2005; Dubois et al., 274 2008b). Spatial normalization was achieved by applying a 12-275276 parameter affine transformation and then estimating non-linear deformations with a linear combination of 3D discrete cosine 277278transform basis functions (Ashburner and Friston, 1999). Spatially normalized hemispheres from all seven mice were then individually 279 smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (FWHM=3 times the voxel 280 dimensions) for further processing. Given the voxel dimensions, 281  $21 \times 21 \times 80 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ , the final spatial resolution of the smoothed images 282 was  $63 \times 63 \times 240 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ , which was compatible with estimate of 283 misregistration error and anatomic variability (Nguyen et al., 2004). 284 The template of the mouse right hemisphere was created and spatial 285 normalization was carried out with the SPM5 software package 286 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/). 287

In a previous study (Dubois et al., 2008b), we compared two 288 spatial normalization techniques based on a histological or autora-289diographic template. We found that histological template-based 290method did not provide accurate spatial normalizations and failed 291 to reach the high level of spatial specificity obtained with autoradio-292 graphic template-based method. The autoradiographic template was 293 therefore more appropriate for spatial normalization than the 294 histological template. In the present study, we also compared the 295 autoradiographic template-based method with a blockface photo-296graphic template-based method. With the blockface template, 297deformation parameters were calculated entirely from a photographic 298 template and then applied to the corresponding autoradiographic 299 volume images. The accuracy of the spatial normalization techniques 300 based on photographic and autoradiographic templates was assessed 301 by calculating voxel-by-voxel mean and standard deviation images of 302 spatially normalized autoradiographic volumes from PS1 and APP/PS1 303 hemispheres, separately (Ashburner and Friston, 1999). 304

#### Statistical design and analysis in SPM

Overall differences in the absolute amount of radiotracer delivered 306 to the brain were beforehand adjusted in each animal by scaling the 307 voxel intensities so that the mean intensity for all seven hemispheres 308 studied was the same. Regional differences in cerebral glucose uptake 309 between APP/PS1 and PS1 transgenic mice were then assessed with 310 SPM5 software. We subtracted the background and excluded the 311 lateral ventricle from the analysis and performed the SPM5 two-312 sample *t*-test. Two contrasts were evaluated separately, making it 313 possible to produce two statistical parametric maps: maps showing 314 voxels of lower intensity in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice (lower levels of 315 glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice) and maps displaying 316 voxels of higher intensity in APP/PS1 than in PS1 animals (higher 317 levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice). As in previous 318 studies, we considered P<0.01 (uncorrected for multiple compar-319 isons) to indicate statistical significance for individual voxels within 320



Fig. 2. Summary chart for the two different methods for 3D reconstruction of the histological (HistoSchemes 1 and 2) and autoradiographic (AutoradSchemes 1 and 2) volumes.

Please cite this article as: Dubois, A., et al., Detection by voxel-wise statistical analysis of significant changes in regional cerebral glucose uptake in an APP/PS1 transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease, NeuroImage (2010), doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.02.074

305

clusters of contiguous voxels, and we used a minimum cluster size of 321 322 1500 contiguous voxels, amounting to  $\sim 0.05 \text{ mm}^3$ , with a resolution of  $21 \times 21 \times 80 \,\mu\text{m}^3$ . We then evaluated the location of significant 323 324voxels within the whole hemisphere and the significance of clusters of contiguous voxels exceeding the minimum cluster size. The Marsbar 325toolbox included in SPM5 (Brett et al., 2003) was used to calculate the 326 mean intensity (i.e., activity) for each cluster. Brain regions were 327 identified with an anatomical atlas of the mouse brain (Paxinos and 328 329 Franklin, 2001).

The impact of the two spatial normalization techniques on the voxel-wise statistical analysis was also evaluated. For each contrast, the set of results obtained with the photographic template-based normalization method was compared with those obtained with the autoradiographic template.

#### 335 Results

#### 336 Cerebral AB deposition

Congo red staining revealed numerous Aβ deposits in the left
 hemispheres of APP/PS1 mice (Fig. 3A). Amyloid deposits were mostly
 observed in iso- and archicortical (hippocampus) brain areas and in
 certain subcortical regions (e.g., thalamus, septal nuclei, amygdala,



**Fig. 3.** Coronal antero-posterior Congo red-stained sections from left hemisphere of one APP/PS1 (A) and one PS1 (B) mice. Note the high density of A $\beta$  deposits in iso- and archicortical brain areas (isoCx), accumbens nucleus (Acb), septal nuclei (S), hippocampus, thalamus (Th) and amygdala (Amg). In the hippocampus, plaques are limited to the outer and inner parts of the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus (DG) and stratum lacunosum moleculare of CA1 (CA1). PS1 animals did not show any amyloid deposits.

accumbens nucleus), as previously shown (Blanchard et al., 2003;341Delatour et al., 2006). Within the hippocampus, plaques were limited342to the outer and inner part of the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus343and the stratum lacunosum moleculare of CA1. PS1 animals had no344amyloid deposits, as expected (Fig. 3B).345

Data acquisition and 3D reconstruction of blockface, autoradiographic 346 and histological post mortem volumes 347

For each series of blockface photographs, the automatic segmen-<br/>tation successfully separated the brain tissue from the embedding<br/>medium, making it possible to remove background from images. For<br/>each mouse, a spatially consistent 3D blockface volume was obtained<br/>by directly stacking the series of photographs. No registration was<br/>required due to reproducible positioning of the tissue in the cutting<br/>plane before the cutting of each section.348<br/>349

The evaluation of the consistency of each 3D-reconstructed 355 histological volume demonstrated that, globally, HistoScheme 1 was 356 more reliable than HistoScheme 2. Indeed, the discontinuities 357 between consecutive histological sections, due to possible registration 358 errors, were greater with HistoScheme 2 than with HistoScheme 1 359 (black arrows in Figs. 4A and C). In addition, the overall shape and 360 geometry of the histological volume reconstructed with HistoScheme 361 1 more closely resembled those of the blockface volume than did 362 those obtained with HistoScheme 2 (Figs. 4B and D). As the blockface 363 volume was regarded as a consistent 3D geometric reference, closely 364 resembling the original geometry in vivo, HistoScheme 1 was 365 therefore considered to ensure greater intra-volume consistency in 366 the 3D-reconstructed histological volume than HistoScheme 2. 367 Nevertheless, the histological volume reconstructed with His-368 toScheme 2 presented a slightly higher definition and morphological 369 accuracy of inner structures, including the striatum (CPu), the corpus 370 callosum (cc) and the hippocampus (Hp). 371

The evaluation of the quality of anatomo-functional superimposi-372 tions showed AutoradScheme 1 to be more reliable than Auto-373 radScheme 2. Indeed, with AutoradScheme 1, the external contours 374 (Ext) and the outer edges of the cortex (OutCx), subcortical structures 375 (e.g., corpus callosum (cc)) and A $\beta$  plaque-like deposits (A $\beta$ ) in the 376 histological volume (Figs. 5A, B and C) were correctly superimposed 377 onto the same areas in the corresponding autoradiographic volume 378 (Figs. 5D and F). By contrast, AutoradScheme 2 led to misregistrations 379 and incorrect superimpositions in some cases, resulting in lower 380 inter-volume consistency (Figs. 5E and G). 381

Thus, the best global strategy for the 3D reconstruction of both382histological and autoradiographic volumes from all seven mice was383our proposed approach *i.e.* combining HistoScheme 1 and Auto-384radScheme 1.385

Spatial normalization: comparison of photographic and functional 386 templates 387

The two different spatial normalization techniques based on 388 photographic and autoradiographic templates were compared 389 (Fig. 6A) and shown to provide similar results for both PS1 and 390 APP/PS1 hemispheres (Figs. 6B and C, respectively). Indeed, the mean 391 images obtained with both template-based normalization methods 392 displayed similar levels of contrast for the various structures in the 393 brain of PS1 or APP/PS1 mice. Sharpness and the consistency of 394 contours for the various structures were equivalent in all cases, 395 regardless of the method used. The standard deviation images from 396 PS1 or APP/PS1 mice showed similar values, demonstrating that the 397 intensity differences between normalized hemispheres were similar. 398 However, differences at the outer edges of the cortex appeared to be 399 less marked with the autoradiographic template than with the 400 photographic method. Another comparison involved superimposition 401 of the edge contours calculated from the normalized reference 402

5

A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 4.** Evaluation of the two methods for 3D reconstruction of the histological volume. Histological volume and corresponding 3D surface rendering in horizontal (A and B) and sagittal (C and D) views obtained from one mouse hemisphere by co-registering each histological section with its corresponding photograph from the blockface volume (HistoScheme 1; middle panel) or by registering each histological section with a reference section in the stack, using a propagative approach, as described by citetDubois07a (HistoScheme 2; right panel). The blockface volume from the same animal and its corresponding 3D surface rendering are displayed in the same view and used as a reference for the comparison of the two methods (left panel). cc; corpus callosum, Hp; hippocampus and CPu: striatum.

hemisphere used to generate both the photographic and autoradio-403 graphic templates onto the spatially normalized autoradiographic 404 data from individual mice (Fig. 6C). The photographic template-based 405 normalization method led to slight misregistrations of the outer edges 406 407 of the cortex. However, with both template-based normalization methods, the edges defining the subcortical structures, such as the 408 striatum, thalamus and inner edges of the cortex in the template were 409correctly superimposed onto the same structures in each of the 7 410 normalized hemispheres. 411

As both template-based methods appeared to be suitable for the spatial normalization of 3D-reconstructed autoradiographic volumes, we additionally compared the statistical outcome of each method in subsequent voxel-wise analysis, to determine if one or the other of these approaches performed better.

#### 417 Statistical analysis

418 Brain regions with cluster-level significant differences in glucose 419 uptake between APP/PS1 and PS1 transgenic mice are displayed in Fig. 7. In this figure, the color-coded statistical maps of t values 420 represent the results of the voxel-wise statistical group comparison 421 between the 4 APP/PS1 and the 3 PS1 hemispheres, using an 422 uncorrected P value of P<0.01. These maps were overlaid on several 423 coronal stained histological sections from a spatially normalized 424 hemisphere, to facilitate the identification of brain regions. Clusters of significant differences in glucose uptake between animals are also 426 represented as 3D volume-rendered images.

Differences between statistical t maps for voxel-wise analysis with 428 photographic and autoradiographic template-based normalization 429methods are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Clusters exceeding the 430 threshold and displaying a significant difference in glucose uptake 431 between animals were obtained within the same anatomical brain 432 structures with both templates. They also had similar *P* values and 433 covered a similar area. Limited differences in the detection sensitivity 434 and morphology terms were found between the two normalization 435 methods. Hence, neither the assessment of accuracy nor the statistical 436 outcome of the two different spatial normalization techniques in 437 subsequent voxel-wise analysis was sufficiently discriminating to 438

A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 5.** Evaluation of the two methods for 3D reconstruction of the autoradiographic volume. (A) Nissl-stained section extracted from the histological volume of one APP/PS1 mouse hemisphere. (B) Binary image of the same section, result of a threshold operation. (C) Superimposition of (A) and (B). External contours (Ext), outer edges of the cortex (OutCx), pyramidal cell layers of the hippocampus (Py) and A- $\beta$  plaque-like deposits (A $\beta$ ) are shown in white. (D) and (E) Superimposition of (B) onto the corresponding autoradiographic section. Images on the left were derived using AutoradScheme 1; those on the right were derived using AutoradScheme 2. Note the misregistrations of Ext and OutCx with AutoradScheme 2 (black and white arrows). (F) and (G) Magnification of the hippocampal and thalamic regions, showing incorrect superimpositions with AutoradScheme 2 concerning the location of the corpus callosum (cc; dark blue area) and A $\beta$  deposits (A $\beta$ ; hyperintense red spots, arrowheads) as compared to superimposition with AutoradScheme 1. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

identify one method as being better than the other. Given thesefindings, we chose to analyze further only the results obtained withthe photographic template-based normalization method.

Glucose uptake levels were lower in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice 442 mostly within the cortical (cingulate, retrosplenial and somatosenso-443 ry), striatal and thalamic regions, as well as hippocampal regions 444 (lacunosum moleculare and radial layers of the CA1 and CA3 regions). 445 Regions of higher levels of glucose uptake were also detected in other 446 cortex areas (piriform and perirhinal) in these mice, and in the 447 amygdaloid, dorsal endopiriform and accumbens nuclei, the dentate 448 gyrus and the dorsal part of the hippocampus (oriens and pyramidal 449 450cell layers of the CA1 and CA3 regions).

The differences in activity (percentage), obtained with the 451 Marsbar toolbox from the statistical analysis are presented in 452 Table 3. This table illustrates the low inter-individual variability in 453activity values for animals of a given genotype. Indeed, in APP/PS1 and 454 PS1 mice, each region of higher or lower glucose uptake presented a 455 coefficient of variation of less than 5%. Much greater inter-individual 456 variability in activity values between genotypes was observed. Indeed, 457the percentage difference between the mean activity values in APP/ 458 PS1 and PS1 mice, measured for all clusters of significant difference in 459glucose uptake detected by the statistical analysis, was about 20%. The 460 activity in the hippocampus (the lacunosum moleculare and radial 461 layers) of APP/PS1 mice was 24.6% lower than in PS1 mice. Activity 462

A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx



**Fig. 6.** Evaluation of spatial normalization with study-specific templates. (A) Photographic and autoradiographic templates. (B) Mean and standard deviation of spatially normalized 3D autoradiographic volume images from PS1 hemispheres. (C) Mean and standard deviation of spatially normalized 3D autoradiographic volume images from APP/PS1 hemispheres. Images on the left were derived using the photographic template; those on the right were derived using the autoradiographic template. (C) Superimposition of the contours of the normalized reference hemisphere used to generate both photographic and autoradiographic templates onto the spatially normalized hemisphere of another mouse after spatial normalization with the photographic and autoradiographic templates, respectively.

levels were 23.3% lower in the somatosensory cortex, 35.6% lower in 463 the cingulate cortex, 26.1% lower in the retrosplenial cortex, 22.4% 464 lower in the striatum and 28.8% lower in the thalamus. As mentioned 465above, the voxel-wise analysis revealed the existence of regions with 466 467 significantly higher levels of glucose uptake. This uptake activity was 25.8% higher in the oriens and pyramidal cell layers of the 468 hippocampus, 25.2% higher in the dentate gyrus, 22.9% higher in the 469amygdala, 33.7% higher and 20.4% higher in the dorsal endopiriform 470and accumbens nuclei, respectively, 21.5% higher in the piriform 471 cortex and 19.3% higher in the perirhinal cortex. 472

#### 473 Discussion

8

In this study, we evaluated the feasibility to apply our analysis
method, combining computerized procedures for the acquisition
and 3D reconstruction of autoradiographic volume images, spatial
normalization, and voxel-wise statistical analysis (Dubois et al.,
2008b) to autoradiographic data mapping brain metabolic activity
in a mouse model of AD. Because mice are widely used models for

biological and medical research, demonstrating the feasibility of 480 such an approach in mice was of prime importance. The 481 preliminary results obtained in this pathological context highlight 482 the likely contribution of voxel-wise analysis to assessing regional 483 and quantitative information, to increasing knowledge about 484 disease mechanisms and to the efficient development and exhaustive validation of new therapeutic approaches. This work also constitutes the first validation of such procedures for their future 487 application to larger *post mortem* pathology data sets from 488 transgenic animals. 489

Data acquisition and 3D reconstruction of blockface, histological and 490 autoradiographic post mortem volumes 491

#### Acquisition of blockface photographs

Although slightly complex (positioning on the cryostat, size, need 493 for consistent quality and highly reproducible illumination), our 494 blockface photograph acquisition system was well-adapted to the 495 cryostat and provided high-quality images. Our custom-made green 496

492

A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

 Image: Constrained state stat



**Fig. 7.** Brain areas in which regional levels of glucose uptake were significantly lower (top panel, blue color scale) and higher (bottom panel, red color scale) in APP/PS1 hemispheres than in PS1 hemispheres. These areas are overlaid on several coronal stained histological sections issued from the spatially normalized reference mouse hemisphere. These areas are also represented as 3D volume-rendered images of the statistical *t* maps repositioned within the 3D volume-rendered image of the same hemisphere. Significance is indicated with *t* statistic color scales, corresponding to the level of significance at voxel level. Cg: cingulate cortex, CPu: striatum, RSG: retrosplenial granular cortex, Th: thalamus, S1: somatosensory cortex, Rad & LMOI: radial layers and lacunosum moleculare of the CA1 and CA3 regions of the hippocampus, Acb: accumbens nucleus, Pir: piriform cortex, Amg: amygdaloid nucleus, PRh: perirhinal cortex, Or & Py: oriens and pyramidal cell layers of the CA1 and CA3 regions of the hippocampus, DG: dentate gyrus. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

embedding matrix gave a high level of contrast between brain tissues 497 and background, making it possible to automate segmentation of the 498 brain in the blockface photographs. 3D consistent blockface volumes 499 were obtained by directly stacking the photographs, as this acquisi-500 tion system guaranteed the direct alignment of all the images within 501the brain. The comprehensive procedure for processing blockface 502photographs has been integrated into BrainVISA and gathered in 503dedicated plugged-in modules. These latter are not yet available with 504BrainRAT package but might be part of its next release. 505

Nevertheless, the blockface photographs remained an intermediate modality used mainly for methodology purposes since brain tissues were unstained and blockface photographs could be acquired 508 only remotely, with a digital camera in reflection mode. The 509resolution and contrast between specific brain tissues were therefore 510 limited compared to stained histological sections. Previous works in 511 nonhuman primates overcame some of these limitations by using a 512 special dye injected in vivo. This dye enhanced the contrast on 513 blockface photographs of post mortem brains (Annese et al., 2006). 514However, the use of such a dye would be incompatible with further 515quantitative [14C]-2-DG autoradiography. Indeed, it requires prior 516 fixation of the brain tissue by perfusion with 4% paraformaldehyde 517 solution and cryopreservation by successive immersion in graded 518

Areas of lower levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice

#### A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

#### t1 1 Table 1

10

Comparison of APP/PS1 and PS1 hemispheres, using the photographic template (P<0.01 uncorrected for multiple comparisons; extent threshold = 1500).

Perior         Ke         Punc         Perior         Punc         T         Ze           41.5         Areas of lower levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice           41.6         0.000         42,892         0.000         0.103         0.000         48.25         5.39         Hippocampus (Rad and LMol of CA1 and CA3)           41.7         0.000         55,678         0.000         0.103         0.000         31.35         4.98         Somatosensory cortex           41.9         0.000         4760         0.000         0.103         0.000         26.63         4.83         Cingulate cortex           41.10         0.000         22,688         0.000         0.137         0.000         16.78         4.35         Striatum           41.11         0.000         2055         0.000         0.137         0.000         16.78         4.35         Striatum           41.13         0.000         2052         0.000         0.152         0.000         12.62         4.03         Retrosplenial cortex           41.14           Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice         Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)           41.14           0.000	t1.2 t1.3	Cluster l	evel		Voxel	level			Brain region
Areas of lower levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice         a1.6       0.000       42,892       0.000       0.103       0.000       48.25       5.39       Hippocampus (Rad and LMol of CA1 and CA3)         a1.7       0.000       55,678       0.000       0.103       0.000       35.94       5.11       Thalamus         a1.8       0.000       12,495       0.000       0.103       0.000       31.35       4.98       Somatosensory cortex         a1.9       0.000       22,688       0.000       0.103       0.000       26.63       4.83       Cingulate cortex         a1.11       0.000       22,688       0.000       0.126       0.000       16.78       4.35       Striatum         a1.12       0.000       2005       0.000       0.127       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         a1.13       0.000       2052       0.000       0.137       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         a1.14       0.000       2052       0.000       0.138       0.000       32.64       5.02       Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         a1.14       0.000       17.676       0.000       0.528       0.000 <th>t1.4</th> <th>Pcorr</th> <th>Ке</th> <th>Punc</th> <th>Pcorr</th> <th>Punc</th> <th>Т</th> <th>Ze</th> <th></th>	t1.4	Pcorr	Ке	Punc	Pcorr	Punc	Т	Ze	
A1.6         0.000         42,892         0.000         0.103         0.000         48.25         5.39         Hippocampus (Rad and LMol of CA1 and CA3)           A1.7         0.000         55,678         0.000         0.103         0.000         35.94         5.11         Thalamus           A1.8         0.000         12,495         0.000         0.103         0.000         31.35         4.98         Somatosensory cortex           A1.9         0.000         4760         0.000         0.103         0.000         26.89         4.84         Striatum           A1.11         0.000         22,688         0.000         0.113         0.000         26.63         4.83         Cingulate cortex           A1.12         0.000         2055         0.000         0.126         0.000         14.2         4.16         Striatum           A1.13         0.000         2052         0.000         0.152         0.000         12.62         4.03         Retrosplenial cortex           A1.13         0.000         13,127         0.000         0.528         0.000         32.64         5.02         Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)           A1.14         0.000         17,676         0.000         0.528	t1.5	Areas of	lower lev	els of gl	ucose up	otake in	APP/PS1	than i	n PS1 mice
11.7       0.000       55,678       0.000       0.103       0.000       35.94       5.11       Thalamus         11.8       0.000       12,495       0.000       0.103       0.000       31.35       4.98       Somatosensory cortex         11.9       0.000       4760       0.000       0.103       0.000       26.89       4.84       Striatum         11.0       0.000       22,688       0.000       0.126       0.000       16.78       4.35       Striatum         11.1       0.000       2055       0.000       0.137       0.000       14.2       4.16       Striatum         11.12       0.000       2055       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         11.14       0.000       2052       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         11.14       0.000       13,127       0.000       0.438       0.000       32.64       5.02       Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         11.17       0.000       17,676       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform nucleus         11.18       0.000       202	t1.6	0.000	42,892	0.000	0.103	0.000	48.25	5.39	Hippocampus (Rad and LMol of CA1 and CA3)
A1.8       0.000       12,495       0.000       0.103       0.000       31.35       4.98       Somatosensory cortex         A1.9       0.000       4760       0.000       0.103       0.000       26.89       4.84       Striatum         A1.0       0.000       22,688       0.000       0.126       0.000       26.63       4.83       Cingulate cortex         A1.11       0.000       275       0.000       0.126       0.000       16.78       4.35       Striatum         A1.12       0.000       2055       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Rtriatum         A1.13       0.000       2052       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Rtriatum         A1.13       0.000       2052       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Rtriatum         A1.14       Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1       than in PS1 mice       inters       highocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         A1.14       0.000       17.676       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.66       Piriform cortex         A1.14       0.000       2028       0.000       0.528	t1.7	0.000	55,678	0.000	0.103	0.000	35.94	5.11	Thalamus
41.9         0.000         4760         0.000         0.103         0.000         26.89         4.84         Striatum           41.10         0.000         22,688         0.000         0.103         0.000         26.63         4.83         Cingulate cortex           41.11         0.000         3715         0.000         0.126         0.000         16.78         4.35         Striatum           41.12         0.000         2055         0.000         0.137         0.000         14.2         4.16         Striatum           41.13         0.000         2055         0.000         0.152         0.00         12.62         4.03         Retrosplenial cortex           41.14         1.14         1.14         1.17         0.000         13,127         0.000         0.438         0.000         32.64         5.02         Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)           41.17         0.000         17,676         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dentate gyrus           41.18         0.000         2028         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dentate gyrus           41.18         0.000         5153         0.000	t1.8	0.000	12,495	0.000	0.103	0.000	31.35	4.98	Somatosensory cortex
A1.10         0.000         22,688         0.000         0.103         0.000         26.63         4.83         Cingulate cortex           A1.11         0.000         3715         0.000         0.126         0.000         16.78         4.35         Striatum           A1.12         0.000         2005         0.000         0.137         0.000         14.2         4.16         Striatum           A1.13         0.000         2052         0.000         0.152         0.000         12.62         4.03         Retrosplenial cortex           A1.13         0.000         2052         0.000         0.152         0.000         12.62         4.03         Retrosplenial cortex           Attas         Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1         than in PS1 mice         Processing (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         Prof CA1 and CA3)           Att.16         0.000         17.676         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.46         Prinform cortex           Att.18         0.000         2019         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dorsal endopiriform nucleus           Att.19         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000 <t< th=""><th>t1.9</th><td>0.000</td><td>4760</td><td>0.000</td><td>0.103</td><td>0.000</td><td>26.89</td><td>4.84</td><td>Striatum</td></t<>	t1.9	0.000	4760	0.000	0.103	0.000	26.89	4.84	Striatum
A1.11         0.000         3715         0.000         0.126         0.000         16.78         4.35         Striatum           1.12         0.000         2005         0.000         0.137         0.000         14.2         4.16         Striatum           1.13         0.000         2052         0.000         0.152         0.000         12.62         4.03         Retrosplenial cortex           1.14         0.000         13,127         0.000         0.438         0.000         32.64         5.02         Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)           1.14         0.000         17,676         0.000         0.528         0.000         12.63         4.51         Dentate gyrus           1.17         0.000         17,676         0.000         0.528         0.000         19.58         4.51         Dentate gyrus           1.18         0.000         2028         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dorsal endopiriform nucleus           1.120         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.45         4.45         Amygdaloid nucleus           1.121         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000	t1.10	0.000	22,688	0.000	0.103	0.000	26.63	4.83	Cingulate cortex
41.12       0.000       2005       0.000       0.137       0.000       14.2       4.16       Striatum         41.13       0.000       2052       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         41.14       0.000       23.22       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         41.14       0.000       13.127       0.000       0.438       0.000       32.64       5.02       Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         41.17       0.000       17.676       0.000       0.528       0.000       19.58       4.51       Dentate gyrus         41.18       0.000       2028       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform nucleus         41.19       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amygdaloid nucleus         41.20       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.44       Accumbens nucleus         41.21       0.000       1983       0.000       0.528       0.000       15.24       4.24       Accumbens nucleus	t1.11	0.000	3715	0.000	0.126	0.000	16.78	4.35	Striatum
h1.13       0.000       2052       0.000       0.152       0.000       12.62       4.03       Retrosplenial cortex         h1.14       Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1       in PS1 mice         h1.16       0.000       13,127       0.000       0.438       0.000       32.64       5.02       Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         h1.17       0.000       17,676       0.000       0.528       0.000       19.58       4.51       Dentate gyrus         h1.18       0.000       2028       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform nucleus         h1.19       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amygdaloid nucleus         h1.20       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amygdaloid nucleus         h1.21       0.000       1983       0.000       0.528       0.000       17.91       4.42       Perirhinal cortex	t1.12	0.000	2005	0.000	0.137	0.000	14.2	4.16	Striatum
t1.14       Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in API/PS1 bit in PS1 mice         t1.15       Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in API/PS1 bit in PS1 mice         t1.16       0.000       13,127       0.000       0.438       0.000       32.64       5.02       Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         t1.17       0.000       17,676       0.000       0.528       0.000       19.58       4.51       Pentate gyrus         t1.18       0.000       2028       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform ortex         t1.19       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amgdaloid nucleus         t1.21       0.000       1983       0.000       0.528       0.000       17.91       4.42       Perirhinal cortex         t1.22       0.000       4135       0.000       0.528       0.000       15.24       4.24       Accumbens nucleus	t1.13	0.000	2052	0.000	0.152	0.000	12.62	4.03	Retrosplenial cortex
t1.15       Areas of higher levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice         t1.16       0.000       13,127       0.000       0.438       0.000       32.64       5.02       Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)         t1.17       0.000       17,676       0.000       0.528       0.000       22.67       4.66       Piriform cortex         t1.18       0.000       2028       0.000       0.528       0.000       19.58       4.51       Dentate gyrus         t1.19       0.000       9109       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform nucleus         t1.20       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amygdaloid nucleus         t1.21       0.000       1983       0.000       0.528       0.000       17.91       4.42       Perirhinal cortex         t1.22       0.000       4135       0.000       0.528       0.000       15.24       4.24       Accumbers nucleus	t1.14								
th.16         0.000         13,127         0.000         0.438         0.000         32.64         5.02         Hippocampus (Or and Py of CA1 and CA3)           th.17         0.000         17,676         0.000         0.528         0.000         22.67         4.66         Piriform cortex           th.18         0.000         2028         0.000         0.528         0.000         19.58         4.51         Dentate gyrus           th.19         0.000         9109         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dorsal endopiriform nucleus           th.20         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.45         4.45         Amygdaloid nucleus           th.21         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Perirhinal cortex           th.22         0.000         1435         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Accumbers nucleus	t1.15	Areas of	higher le	vels of g	lucose u	ptake in	APP/PS	1 than	in PS1 mice
Py of CA1 and CA3)         k1.17       0.000       17,676       0.000       0.528       0.000       22.67       4.66       Piriform cortex         k1.18       0.000       2028       0.000       0.528       0.000       19.58       4.51       Dentate gyrus         k1.19       0.000       9109       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform nucleus         k1.20       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amygdaloid nucleus         k1.21       0.000       1983       0.000       0.528       0.000       17.91       4.42       Perirhinal cortex         k1.22       0.000       4135       0.000       0.528       0.000       15.24       4.24       Accumbers nucleus	t1.16	0.000	13,127	0.000	0.438	0.000	32.64	5.02	Hippocampus (Or and
t1.17         0.000         17,676         0.000         0.528         0.000         22.67         4.66         Piriform cortex           t1.18         0.000         2028         0.000         0.528         0.000         19.58         4.51         Dentate gyrus           t1.19         0.000         9109         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dorsal endopiriform nucleus           t1.20         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.45         4.45         Amygdaloid nucleus           t1.21         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Perirhinal cortex           t1.22         0.000         4135         0.000         0.528         0.000         15.24         4.24         Accumbers nucleus									Py of CA1 and CA3)
t1.18         0.000         2028         0.000         0.528         0.000         19.58         4.51         Dentate gyrus           t1.19         0.000         9109         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.79         4.47         Dorsal endopiriform nucleus           t1.20         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.45         4.45         Amygdaloid nucleus           t1.21         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Perirhinal cortex           t1.22         0.000         4135         0.000         0.528         0.000         15.24         4.24         Accumbens nucleus	t1.17	0.000	17,676	0.000	0.528	0.000	22.67	4.66	Piriform cortex
1.19       0.000       9109       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.79       4.47       Dorsal endopiriform nucleus         1.20       0.000       5153       0.000       0.528       0.000       18.45       4.45       Amygdaloid nucleus         1.21       0.000       1983       0.000       0.528       0.000       17.91       4.42       Perirhinal cortex         1.22       0.000       4135       0.000       0.528       0.000       15.24       4.24       Accumbers nucleus	t1.18	0.000	2028	0.000	0.528	0.000	19.58	4.51	Dentate gyrus
k1.20         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.45         4.45         Amygdaloid nucleus           k1.21         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Perirhinal cortex           k1.22         0.000         4135         0.000         0.528         0.000         15.24         4.24         Accumbens nucleus	t1.19	0.000	9109	0.000	0.528	0.000	18.79	4.47	Dorsal endopiriform
t1.20         0.000         5153         0.000         0.528         0.000         18.45         4.45         Amygdaloid nucleus           t1.21         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Perirhinal cortex           t1.22         0.000         4135         0.000         0.528         0.000         15.24         4.24         Accumbens nucleus									nucleus
t1.21         0.000         1983         0.000         0.528         0.000         17.91         4.42         Perirhinal cortex           t1.22         0.000         4135         0.000         0.528         0.000         15.24         4.24         Accumbens nucleus	t1.20	0.000	5153	0.000	0.528	0.000	18.45	4.45	Amygdaloid nucleus
1.22 0.000 4135 0.000 0.528 0.000 15.24 4.24 Accumbens nucleus	t1.21	0.000	1983	0.000	0.528	0.000	17.91	4.42	Perirhinal cortex
	t1.22	0.000	4135	0.000	0.528	0.000	15.24	4.24	Accumbens nucleus

519 solutions of sucrose, which would wash out the 2DG trapped in the 520 brain.

521 Use of the blockface volume as a 3D geometric reference

522We observed that the use of individual photographs from the 523blockface volume as a reference for the reconstruction of histological volume was more reliable than our previously proposed method 524525(Dubois et al., 2007). This latter, based on the block-matching rigid pairwise registration algorithm (Ourselin et al., 2001), has been 526intensively validated and is acknowledged to be the most compre-527hensive registration algorithm, in the absence of a 3D geometric 528529reference (Malandain et al., 2004; Pitiot et al., 2006; Dauguet et al., 2007). However, it has also been criticized because if an error occurs 530 in the registration of a section with the previous section, this error will 531be propagated through the entire volume (Nikou et al., 2003). If the 532number of sections to be registered is large, an overall offset of the 533534volume, due to error accumulation, may occur. Obviously, this issue is 535more pronounced when distant sections are involved in the

#### t2.1 Table 2

Comparison of APP/PS1 and PS1 hemispheres, using the autoradiographic template (P<0.01 uncorrected for multiple comparisons; extent threshold = 1500).

t 2 2								
t2.3	Cluster le	evel		Voxel	level			Brain region
t2.4	Pcorr	Ke	Punc	Pcorr	Punc	Т	Ze	
t2.5	Areas of	lower lev	els of gl	ucose up	otake in	APP/PS1	than i	n PS1 mice
t2.6	0.000	58,218	0.000	0.128	0.000	35.71	5.11	Hippocampus (Rad and
								LMol of CA1 and CA3)
t2.7	0.000	61,087	0.000	0.128	0.000	32.3	5.01	Thalamus
t2.8	0.000	19,992	0.000	0.128	0.000	30.37	4.95	Somatosensory cortex
t2.9	0.000	3161	0.000	0.128	0.000	27.08	4.84	Striatum
t2.10	0.000	31,204	0.000	0.128	0.000	24.84	4.76	Cingulate and
								retrosplenial cortices
t2.11	0.000	12,407	0.000	0.128	0.000	22.27	4.65	Striatum
t2.12								
t2.13	Areas of	higher le	vels of g	lucose u	ptake in	APP/PS	1 than	in PS1 mice
t2.14	0.000	18,387	0.000	0.006	0.000	96.79	5.98	Hippocampus (Or and
								Py of CA1 and CA3)
t2.15	0.000	9110	0.000	0.166	0.000	32.48	5.02	Dorsal endopiriform
								nucleus
t2.16	0.000	32,702	0.000	0.166	0.000	31.72	5.00	Piriform cortex
t2.17	0.000	4062	0.000	0.169	0.000	26.21	4.81	Amygdaloid nucleus
t2.18	0.000	3075	0.000	0.319	0.000	10.37	3.80	Dentate gyrus
t2.19	0.000	1860	0.000	0.328	0.000	9.85	3.74	Perirhinal cortex

#### Table 3

Regional 2-DG uptake values, mean $\pm$  standard deviation (nCi/g), percentage difference, and associated *P* values between APP/PS1 and PS1 mice.

Region	APP/PS1	PS1	% difference	P value
Areas of lower levels of glucos	se uptake in Al	PP/PS1 than in	PS1 mice	
Cingulate cortex	$245 \pm 12.0$	$345.1 \pm 17.0$	- 35.6	<10 <sup>-3</sup>
Retrosplenial cortex	$275.4 \pm 2.9$	$347.2 \pm 12.9$	-26.1	0.004
Thalamus	$259.6 \pm 3.6$	$334.5 \pm 11.4$	-28.8	0.002
Somatosensory cortex	$267.8 \pm 3.2$	$330.2 \pm 11.0$	-23.3	0.004
Hippocampus (Rad and	$241.6\pm5.4$	$301.1\pm7.0$	-24.6	$< 10^{-3}$
LMol of CA1 and CA3)				
Striatum	$242.9 \pm 6.9$	$297.2 \pm 8.8$	-22.4	0.001
Areas of higher levels of gluco	ose uptake in A	PP/PS1 than in	PS1 mice	
Perirhinal cortex	$271.5 \pm 4.1$	$227.7\pm4.2$	19.3	$< 10^{-3}$
Piriform cortex	$303.7 \pm 5.8$	$250.0\pm7.3$	21.5	$< 10^{-3}$
Dorsal endopiriform nucleus	$20.8 \pm 12.5$	$239.9 \pm 5.1$	33.7	$< 10^{-3}$
Accumbens nucleus	$297.9 \pm 4.8$	$247.4 \pm 1.7$	20.4	$< 10^{-3}$
Amygdaloid nucleus	$311.6 \pm 11.3$	$253.5\pm3.1$	22.9	0.001
Dentate gyrus	$238.1 \pm 6.4$	$190.1\pm6.4$	25.2	$< 10^{-3}$
Hippocampus (Or and	$236.7\pm5.0$	$188.2\pm6.0$	25.8	$< 10^{-3}$

registration. Thus, a modification of the global shape of the 3D 536 reconstructed volume may be observed. Moreover, in the absence of a 537 3D geometric reference, a rigid pairwise registration of 2D sections 538 does not provide a volume with the real geometry of the object under 539study (i.e., the brain after extraction from the skull but before 540sectioning and staining). Indeed, another major difficulty in the 541reconstruction of a 3D volume from 2D sections comes from the so-542called "banana problem": a curved 3D object cannot be reconstructed 543 from cross-sections in the absence of additional information (Strei-544cher et al., 1997; Malandain et al., 2004). By providing additional 545 information about the real geometry of the object under study, the co-546alignment of the 2D histological sections with the corresponding 547blockface photographs made it possible to preserve the 3D curvature 548 of the post mortem brain, thereby overcoming the "banana problem". 549

We also showed that a propagation-based approach was locally 550 more reliable than the use of individual photographs from the 551 blockface volume as a reference for the reconstruction of histological 552 volume. Indeed, the contrast between specific brain tissues and 553resolution of Nissl-stained histological sections were higher as 554compared to blockface photographs. Nissl-stained sections provide 555greater anatomical detail than blockface photographs, hence allowing 556their locally more accurate matching on consecutive sections. 557 However, the differences were really subtle and mainly located in 558 strongly contrasting structures, which did not justify ignoring the 559 value of blockface photographs for preserving the 3D curvature of the 560 post mortem brain. 561

Anatomy as a reference for the 3D reconstruction of autoradiographic 562 data sets 563

The use of the histological volume as a reference for the 564reconstruction of the autoradiographic volume provided the finest 565 section-by-section superimpositions of histological and autoradio-566 graphic volumes, to the scale of A $\beta$  plaques (~100  $\mu$ m in diameter), as 567shown in Fig. 6, thereby giving the best inter-volume consistency. 568 Hence, the global strategy we proposed for the 3D reconstruction of 569histological and autoradiographic volumes optimized both intra- and 570inter-volume consistency as well as the use of the three imaging 571techniques available in this study. 572

The block-matching registration technique is based on both the 573 section edges and the whole image, so the result of the 3D 574 reconstruction depends on the type of data processed, corresponding 575 to the information available from the sections. The independent co-registration of histological and autoradiographic sections with the 577 corresponding blockface photographs, despite relating to the same physical sections, did not give identical results or perfect section-by-579

t3.1

### <u>ARTICLE IN PRESS</u>

580 section anatomical and functional superimposition. By contrast, the 581 use of the reconstructed histological volume (resulting from the 582 registration of histological sections with the corresponding photo-583 graphs) as a reference for reconstruction of the functional volume 584 ensured an identical 3D geometry for the final two volumes.

Thus, the best overall method for the 3D reconstruction of both histological and autoradiographic volumes combined the intrinsic spatial consistency of the blockface volume with the high-quality contrast and structural accuracy of histological information. The blockface volume and the 3D-reconstructed histological and autoradiographic volumes not only had similar geometries, but their anatomical and functional co-registration was optimal.

#### 592 Spatial normalization

We previously demonstrated (Dubois et al., 2008b) that an autoradiographic template was more appropriate for spatial normalization (pre-requisite to voxel-wise statistical analysis) than a histological template. Here, we compared an autoradiographic to a photographic template-based spatial normalization technique.

The intensity-based approach used for the non-linear spatial 598normalization procedure minimizes the sum of squared differences 599600 between the images to be normalized and the template. The best 601 procedure must provide the smallest standard deviation values. Free from potential between-group differences between PS1 and APP/PS1 602 mice, standard deviation images showed similar values and thus 603 demonstrated that the intensity differences between the images and 604 605 the template were equivalently reduced by the two different spatial normalization techniques. Moreover, the photographic and autora-606 diographic template-based methods also gave similar results in terms 607 of its influence on the detection of functional differences between 608 609 animals. However, we preferred the photographic template-based 610 method over the autoradiographic method for two reasons. First, blockface imaging provides a consistent 3D geometric reference free 611from possible deformation artefacts associated with the sectioning 612 process (displacements, torn or missing parts, folds). Non-linear 613 transformations, such as those used in SPM5, are generally more 614 615 sensitive to these artefacts, because they include larger numbers of degrees of freedom than linear transformations. Consequently, 616 estimation of the non-linear transformations between photographic 617 volumes and the corresponding template image should give more 618 accurate results than estimation based on autoradiographic volumes. 619 Second, unlike autoradiography, blockface photographs are unbiased 620 in terms of the functional changes studied and the photographic 621 template-based normalization method therefore prevents possible 622 misregistrations due to differences in intensity between animals 623 624 resulting from their physiological and/or pathological status or the experimental paradigm used. 625

626 Statistical analysis and biological assessments

627 Few studies have evaluated changes in cerebral glucose uptake in 628 transgenic mouse models of AD. The reported studies to date have been realized by a classical ROI-based analysis of autoradiographic 629 data. The authors observed progressive decreases in glucose uptake 630 within some cerebral structures (Hsiao et al., 1996; Dodart et al., 631 1999; Niwa et al., 2002; Sadowski et al., 2004; Valla et al., 2006), 632 including the posterior cingulate cortex in particular (Reiman et al., 633 2000; Valla et al., 2008). Some of them have also reported an increase 634 in glucose uptake particularly within the cortex, the hippocampus and 635 the striatum. However, evidence for statistically significant variations 636 in glucose uptake was often provided after further segmentation of 637 "meta-structures" like the hippocampal formation. 638

The present study is therefore the first to report changes in
 cerebral glucose uptake in transgenic mouse models of AD obtained
 by using a fully automated whole-brain analysis without any

anatomical a priori. Thus, we detected significantly lower levels of 642 cerebral glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than PS1 mice, within the 643 hippocampus (lacunosum moleculare and radial layers of the CA1 644 region), the cortex, the striatum and the thalamus. We also identified 645 regions with significantly higher levels of cerebral glucose uptake. 646 These regions included cortical areas, the amygdala, the dorsal 647 endopiriform and accumbens nuclei, the dentate gyrus and the dorsal 648 part of the hippocampus (the oriens and pyramidal cell layers of the 649 CA1 and CA3 regions). The APP/PS1 mice we studied are known to 650 show only slight neuronal loss, as demonstrated by comparisons with 651 PS1 mice (Blanchard et al., 2003). However, these mice have been 652 reported to display changes in behavior (Cudennec et al., 2008). Our 653 results highlight a complex pattern of decreases and increases in 654glucose uptake in various brain areas, which might account for some 655 of the behavioral changes in these animals. These findings are 656 consistent with the results of other studies in the APP/PS1 transgenic 657 mouse model of AD (Sadowski et al., 2004). The precise cause of these 658 changes in glucose uptake however remains to be determined. A 659 causal relationship between the impairment of glucose utilization in 660 APP/PS1 mice and AB accumulation may be suggested. Future 661 investigations are therefore required. They could benefit from the 662 use of neuropathology-free PS1 littermates (they do not display 663 amyloid deposits) as controls so as to specifically assess the APP 664 related effects of amyloid deposition on brain metabolism. 665

As mentioned above, individual regions of lower and higher levels 666 of glucose uptake than for PS1 mice were concomitantly detected 667 within the hippocampus of APP/PS1 mice (Fig. 8). To the best of our 668 knowledge, this is the first report of such differences. This original 669 result may be accounted for by conceptual differences between 670 previous studies, based on conventional ROI analysis, and this study, 671 based on voxel-wise analysis. Indeed, voxel-wise analysis automat-672 ically provides statistical between-group differences, whereas ROI-673 based analysis is dependent on the subjective placement of ROIs. For 674 brain structures with irregular borders or a mosaic organization of 675 afferent and efferent projections, it remains difficult to define ROIs 676 accurately. This is precisely the case for the hippocampus, the 677 complex shape of which makes it difficult to place the corresponding 678



**Fig. 8.** (A) Clusters of significantly lower (blue) and higher (red) levels of glucose uptake in APP/PS1 than in PS1 mice, obtained within the hippocampus, are represented as 3D surface renderings. They are also repositioned within a 3D volume-rendering of the hippocampal region, manually delineated on the histological volume of the reference hemibrain, previously spatially normalized using the deformation parameters derived from the corresponding blockface volume. The orientation of the hippocampus is indicated by (B). Rad & LMol: radial layers and lacunosum moleculare of CA1 and CA3 regions of the hippocampus, Or & Py: oriens and pyramidal cell layers of the ceferences to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

12

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

ROI exactly. We calculated that the regions displaying lower and 679 680 higher levels of glucose uptake within the hippocampus encompassed only 11% and 3% of the total volume of the hippocampus, respectively. 681 682 Given these low percentages, some of the corresponding information might be unexploited, or even missed, in a classic ROI-based approach. 683 Nevertheless, there are some limitations associated with the 684 present study. First, our preliminary results are obviously severely 685 limited by the small sample size (n = 7). Caution must be applied, as 686 the findings might not be extended to any population of APP/PS1

687 transgenic mice. However, they tend to show that voxel-wise 688 689 statistical analysis may constitute a reliable alternative to classical ROI-based approaches, allowing biologists both to improve their 690 691 understanding of the pathophysiology of AD and the investigation of 692 the efficacy of new treatments. Second, methods combining data from different subjects into a common spatial referential may encounter 693 issues related to final spatial resolution or image deformation. We 694 performed analyses with different smoothing extents (isotropic and 695 anisotropic Gaussian kernel widths) and found no major differences 696 in the location of detected clusters and their spatial extents (data not 697 shown). As mentioned by Nguyen et al. (2004), the observed cluster 698 size depends on the intrinsic resolution of the data and the inter-699 section spacing. Thus, interpretation of clusters is based on neuroan-700 701 atomic correlation, as adjacent regions may fuse into a single cluster. As regards image deformation, the underlying assumption in employ-702 ing the anatomical standardization of an individual subject image is 703 that the intersubject anatomical variability should be within the range 704 of the values expected by the spatial normalization algorithm. In the 705 706 present study, this assumption may be unrealistic since different mouse strains are more variable than rats in brain anatomy and APP/ 707 PS1 transgenic mice exhibit anatomical abnormalities. Indeed, as 708 previously described (Delatour et al., 2006), aged (24 months) APP/ 709 710 PS1 mice showed a moderate global brain atrophy in posterior brain 711 regions as compared to age-matched PS1 animals. The impact of image deformation was however reduced by the use of a study-712 specific template, which was generated by averaging the individual 713 images spatially normalized onto an initial target hemisphere. Thus, 714 715 we minimized registration errors between the images and the 716 template due to the possible singularity in the selected target hemisphere. Third, we reported significant results that only reached 717 P < 0.01 uncorrected for multiple comparisons. As we pointed out 718 (Dubois et al., 2008b), to obtain results that meet the community 719 720 standards for statistical significance (P<0.05 corrected for multiple comparisons), prior assumptions must be made to reduce the number 721 of voxels to be compared. We are therefore currently developing a 722 strategy for automatically defining anatomically relevant volumes of 723 interest so that only specific subdivisions of the brain are analyzed for 724 725significant regions. Our strategy is based on the registration of an MRIbased 3D digital atlas of the mouse brain with the 3D-reconstructed 726 post mortem data (personal communication: (Lebenberg et al., 2009). 727 Lastly, since no young animals were studied, additional studies are 728 needed to determine which of the reported changes in glucose uptake 729 730 reflect progressive pathology, and how they are related to the time 731 course of amyloid pathology.

#### 732 Conclusion

We showed the feasibility of applying computerized procedures 733 734 for the acquisition, 3D reconstruction, spatial normalization and voxel-wise statistical analysis to autoradiographic data sets mapping 735 brain metabolic activity in a mouse model of AD. To the best of our 736 knowledge, this work is the first validation study of such an approach 737 in a mouse model of human neurodegenerative disease. Using only 738 seven mice, we obtained preliminary results that appear to be 739 meaningful, consistent, and more comprehensive than findings from 740 previously published studies based on conventional ROI-based 741 742 methods. The establishment of statistical significance at the voxel level, rather than with a user-defined ROI, made it possible to detect 743 subtle differences in geometrically complex regions, such as the 744hippocampus, more reliably. We also provided the first demonstration 745 of the value of blockface photographs for the 3D reconstruction and 746 spatial normalization of post mortem mouse brain volumes. The use of 747 individual photographs from the blockface volume as a reference for 748 the reconstruction of histological volume ensures greater intra-749 volume consistency and robustness than our previously published 750 approach (Dubois et al., 2007). Blockface photographs are also free 751 from possible deformation artefacts associated with the sectioning 752 process and unbiased in terms of the functional changes studied, both 753 facilitating the spatial normalization. 754

By allowing an operator-independent analysis and guaranteeing 755 objective results, this approach might also constitute a standardized 756 method for the objective comparison of changes in cerebral glucose 757 utilization across ages and between lines of transgenic mice. This 758 method could be more widely used in animal models of various 759 human neurodegenerative diseases to establish relationships be-760 tween histopathological features and regional brain function, to help 761 clarify disease mechanisms, and to screen candidate treatments, 762guiding their selection for testing in expensive, time-consuming 763 preclinical trials. 764

### Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the Sanofi-Aventis Neurodegenerative 766 Disease Group for generously providing the transgenic animals 767 involved in this study. 768

### References

- Annese, J., Sforza, D.M., Dubach, M., Bowden, D., Toga, A.W., 2006. Postmortem highresolution 3-dimensional imaging of the primate brain: blockface imaging of perfusion stained tissue. Neuroimage 30 (1), 61–69.
- Ashburner, J., Friston, K.J., 1999. Nonlinear spatial normalization using basis functions. Hum, Brain Mapp. 7 (4), 254–266.
- Blanchard, V., Moussaoui, S., Czech, C., Touchet, N., Bonici, B., Planche, M., Canton, T., Jedidi, I., Gohin, M., Wirths, O., Bayer, T.A., Langui, D., Duyckaerts, C., Tremp, G., Pradier, L., 2003. Time sequence of maturation of dystrophic neurites associated with Abeta deposits in APP/PS1 transgenic mice. Exp. Neurol. 184 (1), 247–263.
- Brett, M., Valabregue, R., Poline, J.-B., 2003. Region of interest analysis using an SPM toolbox (abstract). Neuroimage 16 ((Suppl.) (CD-ROM)).
- Cudennec, C.L., Faure, A., Ly, M., Delatour, B., 2008. One-year longitudinal evaluation of sensorimotor functions in APP751SL transgenic mice. Genes Brain Behav. 7 (Suppl 1), 83–91.
- Dauguet, J., Delzescaux, T., Condé, F., Mangin, J.-F., Ayache, N., Hantraye, P., Frouin, V., 2007. Three-dimensional reconstruction of stained histological slices and 3D nonlinear registration with in-vivo MRI for whole baboon brain. J. Neurosci. Methods 164 (1), 191–204.
- Delatour, B., Guégan, M., Volk, A., Dhenain, M., 2006. In vivo MRI and histological evaluation of brain atrophy in APP/PS1 transgenic mice. Neurobiol. Aging 27 (6), 835–847.
- Dhenain, M., El Tannir El Tayara, N., Wu, T.-D., Guégan, M., Volk, A., Quintana, C., Delatour, B., 2009. Characterization of in vivo MRI detectable thalamic amyloid plaques from APP/PS1 mice. Neurobiol. Aging 30 (1), 41–53.
- Dodart, J.C., Mathis, C., Bales, K.R., Paul, S.M., Ungerer, A., 1999. Early regional cerebral glucose hypometabolism in transgenic mice overexpressing the V717F betaamyloid precursor protein. Neurosci. Lett. 277 (1), 49–52.
- Dubois, A., Dauguet, J., Hérard, A.-S., Besret, L., Duchesnay, E., Frouin, V., Hantraye, P., Bonvento, G., Delzescaux, T., 2007. Automated three-dimensional analysis of histological and autoradiographic rat brain sections: application to an activation study. J. Cereb. Blood Flow. Metab. 27 (10), 1742–1755.
- Dubois, Å., Dauguet, J., Souedet, N., Hérard, Å.-S., Rivière, D., Cointepas, Y., Bonvento, G., Hantraye, P., Frouin, V., Delzescaux, T., 2008a. BrainRAT: Brain Reconstruction and Analysis Toolbox. A freely available toolbox for the 3D reconstruction of anatomofunctional brain sections in rodents. 38th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuroscience. Washington, USA.
- Dubois, A., Hérard, A.-S., Flandin, G., Duchesnay, E., Besret, L., Frouin, V., Hantraye, P., Bonvento, G., Delzescaux, T., 2008b. Quantitative validation of voxel-wise statistical analyses of autoradiographic rat brain volumes: application to unilateral visual stimulation. Neuroimage 40 (2), 482–494.
- Duff, K., Eckman, C., Zehr, C., Yu, X., Prada, C.M., Perez-tur, J., Hutton, M., Buee, L., Bild Harigaya, Y., Yager, D., Morgan, D., Gordon, M.N., Holcomb, L., Refolo, L., Zenk, B., Bill Hardy, J., Younkin, S., 1996. Increased amyloid-beta42(43) in brains of mice spressing mutant presenilin 1. Nature 383 (6602), 710–713.
  El Tannir El Tavara, N., Delatour, B., Cudennec, C.L., Guésan, M., Volk, A., Dhenain, M., 814
- El Tannir El Tayara, N., Delatour, B., Cudennec, C.L., Guégan, M., Volk, A., Dhenain, M., 2006. Age-related evolution of amyloid burden, iron load, and MR relaxation times 815

765

A. Dubois et al. / NeuroImage xxx (2010) xxx-xxx

- 816 in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Dis. 22 (1), 817 199-208.
- Games, D., Adams, D., Alessandrini, R., Barbour, R., Berthelette, P., Blackwell, C., Carr, T., 818 Clemens, J., Donaldson, T., Gillespie, F., 1995. Alzheimer-type neuropathology in 819 transgenic mice overexpressing V717F beta-amyloid precursor protein. Nature 373 820 821 (6514), 523-527.
- Goedert, M., Spillantini, M.G., 2006. A century of Alzheimer's disease. Science 314 822 (5800), 777-781. 823
- Holcomb, L., Gordon, M.N., McGowan, E., Yu, X., Benkovic, S., Jantzen, P., Wright, K., Saad, I., Mueller, R., Morgan, D., Sanders, S., Zehr, C., O'Campo, K., Hardy, J., Prada, C. 824 825 826 M., Eckman, C., Younkin, S., Hsiao, K., Duff, K., 1998. Accelerated Alzheimer-type 827 phenotype in transgenic mice carrying both mutant amyloid precursor protein and 828 presenilin 1 transgenes. Nat. Med. 4 (1), 97-100.
- Holschneider, D.P., Maarek, J.-M.I., 2008. Brain maps on the go: functional imaging 829 830 during motor challenge in animals. Methods 45 (4), 255-261.
- 831 Holschneider, D.P., Yang, J., Sadler, T.R., Nguyen, P.T., Givrad, T.K., Maarek, J.-M.I., 2006. 832 Mapping cerebral blood flow changes during auditory-cued conditioned fear in the 833 nontethered, nonrestrained rat. Neuroimage 29 (4), 1344-1358.
- 834 Hsiao, K., Chapman, P., Nilsen, S., Eckman, C., Harigaya, Y., Younkin, S., Yang, F., Cole, G., 835 1996. Correlative memory deficits, Abeta elevation, and amyloid plaques in transgenic mice. Science 274 (5284), 99-102. 836
- 837 Klunk, W.E., Engler, H., Nordberg, A., Wang, Y., Blomqvist, G., Holt, D.P., Bergström, M., 838 Savitcheva, I., Huang, G.-F., Estrada, S., Ausén, B., Debnath, M.L., Barletta, J., Price, J. 839 C., Sandell, J., Lopresti, B.J., Wall, A., Koivisto, P., Antoni, G., Mathis, C.A., Långström, 840 B., 2004. Imaging brain amyloid in Alzheimer's disease with Pittsburgh Compound-841 B. Ann. Neurol. 55 (3), 306-319.
- Lebenberg, J., Hérard, A.-S., Dubois, A., Dhenain, M., Hantraye, P., Frouin, V., Delzescaux, 842 843 T., 2009. Segmentation of anatomo-functional 3D post mortem data using a MRI-844 based 3D digital atlas: transgenic mouse brains study. The Sixth IEEE International 845 Symposium on Biomedical Imaging. Boston, USA.
- 846 Lee, J., Ahn, S.-H., Lee, D., Oh, S., Kim, C., Jeong, J., Park, K., Chung, J.-K., Lee, M., 2005. 847 Voxel-based statistical analysis of cerebral glucose metabolism in the rat cortical 848 deafness model by 3D reconstruction of brain from autoradiographic images. Eur. J. 849 Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging 32 (6), 696-701
- 850 Malandain, G., Bardinet, E., Nelissen, K., Vanduffel, W., 2004. Fusion of autoradiographs 851 with an MR volume using 2-D and 3-D linear transformations. NeuroImage 23 (1), 852 111-127
- 853 Minoshima, S., Giordani, B., Berent, S., Frey, K.A., Foster, N.L., Kuhl, D.E., 1997. Metabolic 854 reduction in the posterior cingulate cortex in very early Alzheimer's disease. Ann. 855 Neurol. 42 (1), 85-94.
- 856 Nguyen, P., Holschneider, D., Maarek, J., Yang, J., Mandelkern, M., 2004. Statistical 857 parametric mapping applied to an autoradiographic study of cerebral activation during treadmill walking in rats. NeuroImage 23 (1), 252-259. 858

- Nikou, C., Heitz, F., Nehlig, A., Namer, I., Armspach, J., 2003. A robust statistics-based global energy function for the alignment of serially acquired autoradiographic sections, I. Neurosci, Methods 124 (1), 93-102.
- Niwa, K., Kazama, K., Younkin, S.G., Carlson, G.A., Iadecola, C., 2002. Alterations in cerebral blood flow and glucose utilization in mice overexpressing the amyloid precursor protein. Neurobiol. Dis. 9 (1), 61-68.
- Ourselin, S., Roche, A., Subsol, G., Pennec, X., Ayache, N., 2001. Reconstructing a 3D structure from serial histological sections. Image Vis. Comput. 19 (1-2), 25-31.
- Paxinos, G., Franklin, K., 2001. The Mouse Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates. Academic Press, San Diego, CL.
- Pitiot, A., Bardinet, E., Thompson, P.M., Malandain, G., 2006, Piecewise affine registration of biological images for volume reconstruction. Med. Image Anal. 10 (3), 465-483.
- Reiman, E.M., Caselli, R.J., Yun, L.S., Chen, K., Bandy, D., Minoshima, S., Thibodeau, S.N., Osborne, D., 1996. Preclinical evidence of Alzheimer's disease in persons homozygous for the epsilon 4 allele for apolipoprotein E. N. Engl. J. Med. 334 (12), 752-758.
- Reiman, E.M., Uecker, A., Gonzalez-Lima, F., Minear, D., Chen, K., Callaway, N.L., Berndt, J. D., Games, D., 2000. Tracking Alzheimer's disease in transgenic mice using fluorodeoxyglucose autoradiography. NeuroReport 11 (5), 987-991.
- Sadowski, M., Pankiewicz, J., Scholtzova, H., Ji, Y., Quartermain, D., Jensen, C.H., Duff, K., Nixon, R.A., Gruen, R.J., Wisniewski, T., 2004. Amyloid-beta deposition is associated with decreased hippocampal glucose metabolism and spatial memory impairment
- Shoghi-Jadid, K., Small, G.W., Agdeppa, E.D., Kepe, V., Ercoli, L.M., Siddarth, P., Read, S., Satyamurthy, N., Petric, A., Huang, S.-C., Barrio, J.R., 2002. Localization of neurofibrillary tangles and beta-amyloid plaques in the brains of living patients with hubble of the complexity of the second secon with Alzheimer disease. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 10 (1), 24–35. Small, G.W., Kepe, V., Ercoli, L.M., Siddarth, P., Bookheimer, S.Y., Miller, K.J., Lavretsky,
- H., Burggren, A.C., Cole, G.M., Vinters, H.V., Thompson, P.M., Huang, S.-C., Satyamurthy, N., Phelps, M.E., Barrio, J.R., 2006. PET of brain amyloid and tau in mild cognitive impairment. N. Engl. J. Med. 355 (25), 2652–2663.
- Streicher, J., Weninger, W.J., Müller, G.B., 1997. External marker-based automatic congruencing: a new method of 3D reconstruction from serial sections. Anat. Rec. 248 (4), 583-602
- Valla, J., Schneider, L., Reiman, E.M., 2006. Age- and transgene-related changes in 893 regional cerebral metabolism in PSAPP mice. Brain Res. 1116 (1), 194-200.
- Valla, J., Gonzalez-Lima, F., Reiman, E.M., 2008. FDG autoradiography reveals 895 developmental and pathological effects of mutant amyloid in PDAPP transgenic mice. Int. J. Dev. Neurosci. 26 (3-4), 253-258.
- Wirths, O., Multhaup, G., Czech, C., Blanchard, V., Moussaoui, S., Tremp, G., Pradier, L., 898 Beyreuther, K., Bayer, T.A., 2001. Intraneuronal Abeta accumulation precedes 899 plaque formation in beta-amyloid precursor protein and presenilin-1 double-900 transgenic mice. Neurosci. Lett. 306 (1-2), 116-120. 901

902

13

859

860

861

862

863

881

882

883

884

885

890

891

892

894

896

897